NJCAT TECHNOLOGY VERIFICATION VORTECHS®

CONTECH CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTS Inc.

April 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Intro	duction	5					
	1.1	NJCAT Program	5					
	1.2	Interim Certification	6					
	1.3	Applicant Profile						
	1.4	Key Contacts	7					
2.	The	Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System	7					
3.	Tech	nology System Evaluation: Project Plan	8					
	3.1	Introduction						
	3.2	Site and System Description	9					
	3.3	Sampling Design	11					
	3.4	Particle Size Distribution and Residual Solids Assessment Methods	13					
	3.5	Precipitation Measurement	14					
	3.6	Flow Measurement	16					
	3.7	Stormwater Data Collection Requirements	16					
4.	Tech	nology System Performance	17					
	4.1	Data Analysis	17					
	4.2	Test Results	27					
	4.3	System Maintenance and Residual Solids Assessment Results	28					
	4.4	Summary	32					
5.	Perfe	ormance Claim Verification	32					
6.	Net 1	Environmental Benefit	33					
7.	References							
	App	endix A: Individual Storm Events	35					

List of Tables

Table 1 Analytical methods used for analytical parameters of interest	12
Table 2 Instances of contaminated detection in equipment rinsate blank and equipment field	
blank samples	_13
Table 3 Comparison of National Weather Service (NWS) cooperative station in Toms River, N	ŊJ
rain gage monthly rainfall totals to normal monthly rainfalls	_15
Table 4 Rainfall and runoff statistics for sampled events at the WAWA Market Route 37 study	У
site	15
Table 5 Percentage of calculated rainfall volumes measured at the WAWA Market Route 37	
study site	_16
Table 6 Stormwater data collection requirements results	_17
Table 7 Suspended Solids Event Mean Concentrations (EMCs) for the 18 events sampled at the	ıe
WAWA Market Route 37 study site	18
Table 8 Total Volatile Suspended Solids Event Mean Concentration (EMCs) for the 18 events	•
sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site	19
Table 9 Calculated Parameters (mineral) Event Mean Concentrations (EMCs) for the 18 event	IS
sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site	20
Table 10 Calculated percentages of material less than 500 μ m and 50 μ m for the 18 events	
sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site	22
Table 11 Calculated percentages of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in	
nature for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site	.23
Table 12 Suspended Solids Event Sum of Loads (SOL) Efficiency Calculations for the 18 even	nts
sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site	24
Table 13 Total Volatile Suspended Solids Event Sum of Loads (SOL) Efficiency Calculations	,
for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site	25
Table 14 Calculated Parameters (mineral) Event Sum of Loads (SOL) Efficiency Calculations	;
for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site	_26
Table 15 Particle size distribution analysis results using ASTM D4464 for events sampled at the	he
WAWA Market Route 37 study site	30

List of Figures

Figure 2 Aerial view of WAWA Market Route 37 study site9Figure 3 View of south parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site10Figure 4 View of west parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site10Figure 5 View of the Mobile Monitoring Unit (MMU) installed at the WAWA Market Route 3710Study site11Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves13Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs29Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to30Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to31Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31	Figure 1 Standard Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System	
Figure 3 View of south parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site 10 Figure 4 View of west parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site 10 Figure 5 View of the Mobile Monitoring Unit (MMU) installed at the WAWA Market Route 37 10 study site 11 Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves 13 Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs 29 Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 30 Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 31 Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size analysis of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 530µm to 1.5µm particle size analysis and size analysis analysis analysis analysis and size analysis and size analysis and	Figure 2 Aerial view of WAWA Market Route 37 study site	9
Figure 4 View of west parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site10Figure 5 View of the Mobile Monitoring Unit (MMU) installed at the WAWA Market Route 3711study site11Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves13Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs29Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to30Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to31Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size analysis and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size analysis analysis and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range31	Figure 3 View of south parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site	_10
Figure 5 View of the Mobile Monitoring Unit (MMU) installed at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site 11 Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves 13 Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs 29 Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 30 Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 31 Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range 31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range 31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range 31	Figure 4 View of west parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site	10
study site11Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves13Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs29System29Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to301.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution30Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to31Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range31Serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range31	Figure 5 View of the Mobile Monitoring Unit (MMU) installed at the WAWA Market Route 2	37
Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves13Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs29System29Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to301.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution30Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to31Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range31Sigure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range32	study site	11
Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs 29 Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 30 Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 30 Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 31 Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range 31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size analysis 32	Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves	13
system29Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to301.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution30Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to311.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution31Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using31serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size filtration size distributions generated using31Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range32	Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs	\$
Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution30 Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution31 Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range32	system	_29
1.5μm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution 30 Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500μm to 31 5μm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution 31 Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350μm to 1.5μm particle size range 31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500μm to 1.5μm particle size range 32	Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to)
Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution31 Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size ange32 Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range32 Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range33 Serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle	1.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution	30
1.5μm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution 31 Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using 31 serial filtration covering 6350μm to 1.5μm particle size range 31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using 31 serial filtration covering 500μm to 1.5μm particle size range 32	Figure 9 Influent Particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to	
Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range31 Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range32	1.5µm particle range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution	31
serial filtration covering 6350μm to 1.5μm particle size range31Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using32serial filtration covering 500μm to 1.5μm particle size range32	Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using	g
Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range32	serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range	31
serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range32	Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using	g
	serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range	32

1. Introduction

1.1 New Jersey Corporation for Advanced Technology (NJCAT) Program

NJCAT is a not-for-profit corporation to promote in New Jersey the retention and growth of technology-based businesses in emerging fields such as environmental and energy technologies. NJCAT provides innovators with the regulatory, commercial, technological and financial assistance required to bring their ideas to market successfully. Specifically, NJCAT functions to:

- Advance policy strategies and regulatory mechanisms to promote technology commercialization;
- Identify, evaluate, and recommend specific technologies for which the regulatory and commercialization process should be facilitated;
- Facilitate funding and commercial relationships/alliances to bring new technologies to market and new business to the state; and
- Assist in the identification of markets and applications for commercialized technologies.

The technology verification program specifically encourages collaboration between vendors and users of technology. Through this program, teams of academic and business professionals are formed to implement a comprehensive evaluation of vendor specific performance claims. Thus, suppliers have the competitive edge of an independent third party confirmation of claims.

Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 13:1D-134 et seq. (Energy and Environmental Technology Verification Program) the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) and NJCAT have established a Performance Partnership Agreement (PPA) whereby NJCAT performs the technology verification review and NJDEP certifies that the technology meets the regulatory intent and that there is a net beneficial environmental effect of the technology. In addition, NJDEP/NJCAT work in conjunction to develop expedited or more efficient timeframes for review and decision-making of permits or approvals associated with the verified/certified technology.

The PPA also requires that:

- The NJDEP shall enter into reciprocal environmental technology agreements concerning the evaluation and verification protocols with the United States Environmental Protection Agency, other local required or national environmental agencies, entities or groups in other states and New Jersey for the purpose of encouraging and permitting the reciprocal acceptance of technology data and information concerning the evaluation and verification of energy and environmental technologies; and
- The NJDEP shall work closely with the State Treasurer to include in State bid specifications, as deemed appropriate by the State Treasurer, any technology verified under the Energy and Environment Technology Verification Program.

1.2 Interim Certification

CONTECH Construction Products Inc. (CONTECH) is a leading provider of innovative, longterm, stormwater treatment solutions, offering a variety of products, maintenance, laboratory, and engineering support to meet stormwater management needs. CONTECH's patented product, the Vortechs[®] (Vortechs) System, is a Best Management Practice (BMP) designed to meet federal, state, and local requirements for treating stormwater runoff in compliance with the Clean Water Act. The Vortechs system improves the quality of stormwater runoff before it enters receiving waterways through the use of hydrodynamic separation and settling to provide enhanced solids removal. (See Section 2 for an additional description of the technology.)

CONTECH received New Jersey Corporation for Advanced Technology (NJCAT) Verification of the Vortechs System in May of 2004. The NJCAT Verification was used to support a Conditional Interim Certification issued in January of 2005 by the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP). A major condition of this Conditional Interim Certification is the execution of a field evaluation in accordance with the TARP Tier II Stormwater Protocol (TARP, 2003) and the New Jersey Tier II Stormwater Test Requirements (NJDEP, 2006).

1.3 Applicant Profile

CONTECH offers a range of stormwater treatment products including filtration, hydrodynamic separation, volumetric separation, detention/retention, screening, oil/water separation, and flow control technologies. A knowledgeable team of 200 professionals across the U.S. provide the engineering and customer service support to determine a project's most appropriate stormwater treatment system that meets the requirements of the relevant permitting jurisdiction.

At CONTECH's state-of-the-art laboratories, engineers and scientists conduct ongoing research to further the understanding of non-point source pollution and develop practical product solutions. CONTECH helps its customers achieve their water quality goals by providing treatment technologies that remove a variety of pollutants from stormwater runoff. These stormwater treatment products are specifically designed to meet federal, state, and local regulations.

Former CONTECH subsidiaries Vortechnics (2004) and Stormwater Management, Inc. (2005) combined to form Stormwater360 (2006), and later became CONTECH Stormwater Solutions, Inc. a division of CONTECH Construction Products Inc. In December 2006, CDS Technologies, Inc. was added into CONTECH's product offerings.

CONTECH has four primary regional offices that service their customers.

Ohio (Headquarters) 9025 Centre Pointe Drive, Suite 400 West Chester, OH 45069 800-395-0608 Maryland 521 Progress Drive, Suite H Lithicum, MD 21090 866-740-3318 Maine 200 Enterprise Drive Scarborough, ME 04074 207-885-9830

Oregon Portland, OR 97220 866-400-3180

California 11835 NE Glenn Widing Dr 3777 Long Beach Blvd., Suite 400 Long Beach, CA 90807 562-264-0701

Key managers of CONTECH are James Lenhart - Chief Technical Officer, and Frank Birney -Vice President of Stormwater.

1.4 **Key Contacts**

Richard S. Magee, Sc.D., P.E., BCEE **Technical Director** NJ Corporation for Advanced Technology Center for Environmental Systems Stevens Institute of Technology Castle Point on Hudson Hoboken, NJ 07030 201-216-8081 973-879-3056 cell rsmagee@rcn.com

Jim Lenhart, P.E. Chief Technology Officer **CONTECH Construction Products, Inc.** 11835 NE Glenn Widing Drive Portland, OR 97220 866-400-3180 lenhartj@contech-cpi.com

Derek Berg **Regional Regulatory Manager CONTECH Construction Products, Inc.** 200 Enterprise Drive Scarborough, Maine 04074 207-885-9830 bergdm@contech-cpi.com

2. The Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System

The Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System is a hydrodynamic separator designed to enhance gravitational separation of floating and settling materials from stormwater flows. Each unit has three basic components: 1) a circular grit chamber that promotes a gentle swirling motion of the incoming stormwater, 2) an oil baffle wall, and 3) a flow control wall for controlling high and low flows. An illustration of a standard Vortechs system is shown in Figure 1.

Stormwater flows enter the unit tangentially to the grit chamber, which promotes a gentle swirling motion. As stormwater circles the grit chamber, pollutants migrate toward the center of the unit where velocities are the lowest. The majority of settlable solids are left behind as stormwater exits the grit chamber via two apertures on the perimeter of the chamber. Next, buoyant debris, oil and grease are separated from water as it flows under the baffle wall. A final flow control wall ensures optimal performance throughout the full range of system operation while abating turbulence at high flows to protect against resuspension and washout. As

stormwater exits the system through the flow control wall and ultimately the outlet pipe, it is relatively free of floating and settling pollutants.



Figure 1 Standard Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System

Over time a conical pile tends to accumulate in the center of the unit containing sediment and associated metals, nutrients, hydrocarbons and other pollutants. Floating debris, oil and grease form a floating layer trapped in front of the baffle wall. These accumulated pollutants can be easily accessed through manholes located over each chamber. Maintenance is typically performed through the manhole over the grit chamber.

3. Technology System Evaluation: Project Plan

3.1 Introduction

A Project Plan for the WAWA Market Route 37, Toms River, NJ Vortechs[®] Stormwater Treatment System Field Evaluation was completed in September of 2008, resulting in the commencement of monitoring activities. The Vortechs system installation was monitored for a 20 month period following system maintenance. This project was managed by CONTECH in cooperation with the site owner. Independent oversight of all aspects of the project was provided by Dr. Qizhong Guo of Rutgers University. Sample handling services were provided by Sovereign Consulting Inc. (Sovereign) of Parsippany, NJ, and analytical work was conducted by New Jersey Analytical Laboratories of Pennington, NJ (NJAL) and Test America of Beaverton, OR (Test America). Monitoring over a 20 month period resulted in the collection of 18 storm events representing 23.67 inches of cumulative precipitation.

3.2 Site and System Description

The WAWA Market Route 37 study site is located in Toms River, New Jersey (Lat: 39°57'3.48"N, Lon: 74° 7'57.80"W) approximately 9 feet above sea level and is situated at the northeastern corner of Ocean County, New Jersey. The site is located at the intersection of Route 37 and Harding Avenue, two main roadways in the county that receive heavy traffic. A convenience store and pump station currently occupy the site. The site is currently owned and operated by WAWA Inc. Based on information provided by the specifying engineer, the site is a 98% impervious site. The total drainage area of the site is 2.42 acres. The contributing drainage area to the Vortechs system being evaluated is 0.74 acres. The contributing drainage area is comprised of the gas island canopy, the front portion of the west parking lot area, and the majority of the south parking lot area. The site is maintained periodically and receives heavy traffic during normal business operating hours. An aerial photograph of the study site is shown in Figure 2 and additional photographs of the study site are provided in Figures 3 and 4. Stormwater runoff from the contributing drainage area is directed to the Vortechs stormwater treatment system before eventually discharging into an infiltration basin on the western border of the site as seen in Figure 2.



Figure 2 Aerial view of WAWA Market Route 37 study site



Figure 3 View of south parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site



Figure 4 View of west parking lot area of WAWA Market Route 37 study site

The Vortechs system is designed in an on-line configuration with respect to the stormwater conveyance pipe system. The Vortechs system is also designed for annual maintenance. The primary source of pollution on this site is expected to be from vehicular traffic. The peak water quality flow rate calculated by CONTECH based on information provided by the specifying

engineer for the Vortechs system is 2.13 cfs based on the 2004 NJDEP water quality design storm. The Vortechs system is rated to treat a maximum water quality flow rate of 2.4 cfs. The sediment storage capacity of the system is 2.4 yd^3 .

3.3 Sampling Design

The equipment and sampling techniques used for this study are in accordance with the Project Plan (CONTECH, 2008) developed by CONTECH in consultation with NJDEP and NJCAT under the TARP Tier II Stormwater Protocol (TARP, 2003) and the New Jersey Tier II Stormwater Test Requirements—Amendments to TARP Tier II Protocol (NJDEP, 2006). CONTECH personnel were responsible for the installation, operation, and maintenance of the sampling equipment. Sovereign Consulting was utilized for sample retrieval, system reset, and sample submittal activities. Water sample processing and analysis was performed by NJAL and Test America.

A Mobile Monitoring Unit (MMU) was provided, installed, maintained, and operated by CONTECH for sampling purposes. The MMU is a towable, fully enclosed, self-contained stormwater monitoring system specially designed and built by CONTECH for remote, extended-deployment stormwater monitoring. The design allows for remote control of sampling equipment, eliminates confined space entry requirements, and streamlines the sample pickup and data collection process. The MMU is shown in Figure 5.



Figure 5 View of the Mobile Monitoring Unit (MMU) installed at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site

Influent and effluent samples were collected using individual ISCO 6712 Portable Automated Samplers configured for standard, individual, round, wide-mouth HDPE bottles with sample bottles in the 1 through 12 positions for discrete sample collection. The samplers were connected to individual 12V DC, deep cycle power supplies recharged with a solar panel. The

effluent sampler was equipped with an ISCO 750 Area Velocity Flow Module with a Low Profile Area Velocity Flow Sensor for flow analysis and effluent sample pacing. Sample pacing was based upon effluent flow readings by using a paired sampler configuration though the use of an ISCO SPA 1026 cable. Each sampler was also connected to an ISCO SPA 1489 Digital Cell Phone Modem to allow for remote communication and data access. Rainfall was analyzed with 0.01-in resolution with a Texas Electronics TR-4 tipping bucket-type rain gauge. The sample intake from each automated sampler pump was connected to a stainless steel sample strainer (9/16" diameter, 6" length, with multiple ¼" openings) via a length of 3/8" ID Acutech Duality FEP/LDPE tubing. Sample strainers and the effluent flow sensor were mounted to the invert of the influent/effluent pipes using stainless steel spring rings.

Samplers were programmed to enable the sampling program when flow conditions exceeded 5 gpm. Once enabled, the equipment collected samples on a volume-paced basis allowing the specified pacing volume to pass before taking a sample. The sample collection program input into each automated sampler was a two-part program developed to maximize the number of water quality samples collected as well as the coverage of the storm event. Influent and effluent sample collection programs were configured to collect two 500-mL aliquots per bottle spread between up to 12 1-L HDPE bottles. Due to the variability among precipitation events, the sample pacing and enabling specifications were variable on a continuous basis and determined in consultation with the most up-to-date precipitation forecasts.

Upon the collection of samples following a precipitation event, CONTECH personnel remotely communicated with the automated sampling equipment to confirm sample collection and dispatch personnel from Sovereign to retrieve the samples and reset the automated sampling equipment. Samples were delivered to NJAL by Sovereign using cold transport and accompanied by chain-of-custody documentation. At the direction of CONTECH personnel, sample bottles were combined by NJAL to create composite samples through identification of those bottles best representing the storm event based upon the storm event hydrograph. Selected sample bottles were thoroughly shaken and emptied into a cone splitter with a 2000µm sieve on top to remove particles greater than 2000µm to ensure proper operation of the cone splitter (USGS, 1980). Analytical methods utilized for the water samples are shown in Table 1.

Parameter	Analytical Method
Suspended Sediment Conc. (SSC)	ASTM D3977
Total Suspended Solids (TSS-SM)	SM 2540D
Total Suspended Solids (TSS-EPA)	EPA 160.2
Total Volatile Suspended Solids (TVSS)	SM 2540G
Particle Size Distribution	ASTM D4464

Table 1 Analytical methods used for analytical parameters of interest

As per the Project Plan, the following quality control samples were used to assess the quality of both field sampling and analytical activities: equipment rinsate blanks, equipment field blanks, method blank, and duplicate analysis. Sample processing blank samples were not taken. Except for solids analyses that employ the use of the whole sample volume (SSC), all method blanks and duplicate analyses were handled by NJAL. Since solids analyses that employ the use of

whole sample volume (SSC) consume the entire sample volume, replicate samples were prepared using the cone splitter in place of duplicate samples and analyzed to allow the assessment of analytical accuracy. The results of equipment rinsate blanks, equipment blanks, and sample processing blanks for the two times data blanks were collected are shown in Table 2 accompanied by associated decisions and action items for instances of detection.

Table 2 Instances of contaminant detection in equipment rinsate blank and equipment field
blank samples

Date	Blank Type	Detections	Level (mg/L)	Action	% of Sample Pairs Affected
09/19/08	Rinsate	ND		None	0
04/09/10	Field	ND		None	0

3.4 Particle Size Distribution and Residual Solids Assessment Methods

Two methods of evaluating influent particle size were used for this project. The first method, laser diffraction, was used in accordance with the TARP Tier II Protocol. The second method used was a serial filtration process that was utilized for every storm event sampled. The serial filtration method is a direct measurement of particle size by mass whereas indirect methods such as Laser Diffraction and the electrical sensing zone method (Coulter Principle) convert counted data points into mass by way of assumptions regarding particle shape and density (CONTECH, 2004). For each storm event sampled, samples were poured through a primary 2000 μ m sieve prior to being split with a cone splitter as seen in Figure 6. Subsamples intended for SSC (<50 μ m), SSC (<100 μ m), and SSC (<500um) analysis were passed through secondary 50 μ m, 100 μ m, and 500 μ m sieves respectively prior to analysis, as seen in Figure 6.



Figure 6 Side view of cone splitter apparatus prior to sample splitting using sieves

Results were obtained for SSC, SSC (>2000 μ m), SSC (<2000 μ m), SSC (<500 μ m), SSC (<100 μ m), and SSC (<50 μ m). Results for SSC (>2000 μ m) and SSC were calculated. SSC (>2000 μ m) was calculated using estimated volume of sample used for the composite and the mass of material retained by the 2000 μ m sieve. SSC was equal to the sum of SSC (>2000 μ m) and SSC (<2000 μ m). The use of 2000 μ m and 50 μ m sieves to bracket the sand fraction is based upon the USDA particle size distribution system.

Residual solids captured by the system were assessed at the end of the 20-month monitoring phase of the project. The assessment involved the volume estimation of captured material found inside the system and the collection of a 20 liter composite sample of the residual solids. The composite sample of residual solids was homogenized by hand and representatively sampled for analysis. Subsamples were analyzed to determine moisture content, bulk density, and particle size distribution using hydrometer and sieve techniques. Results were used to characterize and determine the mass of captured residual solids.

3.5 **Precipitation Measurement**

Rainfall was analyzed with a Texas Electronics TR-4 tipping bucket-type rain gauge. The rain gauge was connected to an ISCO 6712 programmed to record the total number of tips (0.01 inch per tip) every 5 minutes. A comparison of rainfall data collected at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site during the monitoring period to preliminary (before the NWS internal screening process to ensure it was not recorded in error) rainfall data collected at the National Weather Service (NWS) cooperative station in Toms River, NJ indicated that the rain gauge was working properly during the monitoring period.

A comparison of the NWS cooperative station in Toms River, NJ rain gauge monthly rainfall totals measured during the monitoring period to normal monthly rainfalls shows that rainfall in the area was below normal in October (2008), February (2009), March (2009), May (2009), August (2009), November (2009), February (2010), and April (2010). Rainfall was noticeably above normal in September (2008), December (2008), April (2009), June (2009), July (2009), October (2009), and December (2009), Table 3. Preliminary data were not available for January (2010) and March (2010) for comparison purposes.

A total of 18 qualifying storm events were successfully sampled during the monitoring period between September of 2008 and April of 2010; individual storm reports are included in Appendix A. Collection of storm events commenced after the review of the Project Plan by NJCAT and CONTECH's technical advisor for the project. Storm event durations ranged from 2.08 hours to 33.08 hours, rainfall depth for sampled events ranged from 0.41 to 3.60 inches, and 15 and 30 minute maximum intensities were 1.24 and 0.92 inches/hour respectively. Based on the drainage area provided by the specifying engineer of 0.74 acres the calculated total rainfall volume ranged from 10,019 to 87,974 gallons, Table 4.

Table 3 Comparison of National Weather Service (NWS) cooperative station in Toms River, NJ rain gauge monthly rainfall totals to normal monthly rainfalls

Month	NWS Toms River, NJ rain gauge (in.)	Percent of normal (%)	Monthly normal in. (1977-2000)
September (2008)	8.46	214	3.95
October (2008)	1.62	46	3.55
November (2008)	4.62	114	4.05
December (2008)	8.72	214	4.08
January (2009)	5.11	121	4.22
February (2009)	0.52	16	3.35
March (2009)	1.08	25	4.34
April (2009)	7.03	175	4.02
May (2009)	3.83	92	4.17
June (2009)	7.98	227	3.52
July (2009)	8.06	177	4.56
August (2009)	3.95	79	5
September (2009)	5.44	138	3.95
October (2009)	6.56	185	3.55
November (2009)	3.46	85	4.05
December (2009)	12.03	295	4.08
January (2010)			4.22
February (2010)	2.68	80	3.35
March (2010)			4.34
April (2010)	2.02	50	4.02

Table 4 Rainfall	and runoff	statistics for	or sampled	events at th	e WAWA	Market	Route 37
study site							

Event ID	Duration of storm event (hours)	Total rainfall (in.)	P15 (in/hr)	P30 (in/hr)	Total rainfall volume (gal)
WTR092508	14.25	3.60	1.24	0.92	87974
WTR111508	23.08	0.99	0.08	0.11	24193
WTR032909	11.17	0.56	0.14	0.17	13685
WTR040309	9.58	0.41	0.13	0.15	10019
WTR040609	14.42	0.66	0.06	0.11	16129
WTR041109	9.50	1.02	0.07	0.12	24926
WTR060809	18.17	1.37	0.37	0.47	33479
WTR062109	14.83	0.70	0.18	0.32	17106
WTR071209	3.25	0.74	0.33	0.44	18083
WTR082209	2.08	0.91	0.34	0.51	22238
WTR091009	16.58	2.51	0.26	0.34	61337
WTR092409	19.50	0.47	0.17	0.23	11485
WTR092709	15.58	0.99	0.08	0.12	24193
WTR100309	12.42	0.57	0.16	0.24	13929
WTR120209	12.17	1.87	0.33	0.52	45697
WTR120909	7.50	2.22	0.26	0.44	54250
WTR012510	18.42	1.28	0.14	0.23	31280
WTR031210	33.08	2.80	0.12	0.16	68424

3.6 Flow Measurement

An ISCO 750 Area Velocity Flow Module with a Low Profile Area Velocity Flow Sensor was used to measure flow and pace sample collection. Level measurements were adjusted by applying corrections that reflected differences between recorded and measured water surface elevations in the effluent pipe where the ISCO flow sensor was installed. On average 83 percent of the calculated total rainfall volume was measured as runoff for the events monitored, Table 5.

Event ID	Event depth (in)	Measured influent volume (gal)	Estimated rainfall volume (gal)	Percent runoff* (%)
WTR092508	3.60	76849	87974	87
WTR111508	0.99	9631	24193	40
WTR032909	0.56	10394	13685	76
WTR040309	0.41	5020	10019	50
WTR040609	0.66	12956	16129	80
WTR041109	1.02	15755	24926	63
WTR060809	1.37	33569	33479	100
WTR062109	0.70	15845	17106	93
WTR071209	0.74	14869	18083	82
WTR082209	0.91	23077	22238	104
WTR091009	2.51	53031	61337	86
WTR092409	0.47	10873	11485	95
WTR092709	0.99	18239	24193	75
WTR100309	0.57	11438	13929	82
WTR120209	1.87	49664	45697	109
WTR120909	2.22	64853	54250	120
WTR012510	1.28	21921	31280	70
WTR031210	2.80	56135	68424	82

 Table 5 Percentage of calculated rainfall runoff volumes measured at the WAWA Market

 Route 37 study site.

* This is the percent of the total expected rainfall (assumes all rainfall ran off) measured by the flow meters. The data indicates the limitations of measuring flow in the field.

3.7 Stormwater Data Collection Requirements

Of the 18 qualifying storm events sampled between September of 2008 and April of 2010; 1) the total rainfall was greater than 0.1 inches for all storm events sampled, 2) the minimum interevent period was greater than 8 hours for all storm events sampled, 3) flow-weighted composite samples covered a minimum of 64% of total storm flow for all storm events sampled, 4) the minimum number of samples collected per storm event was 6 and the average number of samples collected per storm event was 13, 5) the total sampled rainfall was 23.67 inches, 6) two events exceeded 75% of the design treatment capacity, and 6) TSS-SM, TSS-EPA, and SSC data were collected for all storm events sampled. All events qualified to strict interpretation of the stormwater data collection requirements as per New Jersey Tier II Stormwater Test Requirements—Amendments to TARP Tier II Protocol (NJDEP, 2006) and the NJDEP interpretation of TARP (2003), Table 6.

Event ID	Coverage	Number of samples	Event depth (in.)	Antecedent dry period (hr)	Influent volume (gal)	Peak flow (gpm)	Percent of hyd. design (%)
WTR092508	74	19	3.60	59	76849	1047	97
WTR111508	93	6	0.99	34	9631	99	9
WTR032909	87	6	0.56	33	10394	285	26
WTR040309	80	8	0.41	32	5020	336	35
WTR040609	64	13	0.66	80	12956	96	9
WTR041109	89	14	1.02	113	15755	85	8
WTR060809	66	22	1.37	15	33569	663	62
WTR062109	76	12	0.70	8	15845	377	35
WTR071209	91	9	0.74	254	14869	561	52
WTR082209	87	10	0.91	8	23077	541	50
WTR091009	87	23	2.51	280	53031	471	44
WTR092409	83	9	0.47	15	10873	354	33
WTR092709	99	12	0.99	68	18239	160	15
WTR100309	79	9	0.57	102	11438	381	35
WTR120209	81	20	1.87	44	49664	641	59
WTR120909	74	20	2.22	75	64853	840	78
WTR012510	73	8	1.28	163	21921	326	30
WTR031210	93	13	2.80	219	56135	296	27

 Table 6 Stormwater data collection requirements results

4. Technology System Performance

4.1 Data Analysis

Of the 18 storm events captured between September of 2008 and April of 2010, data verification and validation did not lead to the outright disqualification of any events due to obvious monitoring, handling, or analytical errors, or the substantial exceedance of the design operating parameters. No instances were encountered that suggested the disqualification or separation of select analytical results from the data set. Disqualification of either an influent or effluent result would result in the elimination of the paired data from the final data set. Event mean concentrations (EMCs) from influent and effluent samples are summarized in Tables 7, 8, and 9.

Event ID	TSS (<200 (m)	-SM 0µm) g/l)	TSS- (<200 (m	-ΕΡΑ 00μm) g/l)	SS (m)	SC g/l)	SS (>200 (m	SC 00μm) g/l)	SS (<200 (m	SC)0μm) g/l)	SS (<500 (m)	SC 0µm) g/l)	SS (<10 (m	SC 0µm) g/l)	SS (<50 (m)	SC)µm) g/l)
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent
WTR092508	1810	19.7	376	22.7	2095	17.7	55.1	ND(0.2)	2040	17.5	240	11.5	NT	NT	13.5	3.3
WTR111508	209.6	32	128.5	17	162	14	2.8	ND(0.1)	159.3	13.9	102.2	4.3	NT	NT	3.2	1.9
WTR032909	238	120	192	114	815	125	33.6	ND(0.2)	781	125	290	109	122	101	69.7	64.6
WTR040309	186.3	126.5	186.8	137	229.3	132.3	13.7	1.3	215.6	131	126.9	107.8	84.6	93.5	28.7	46.8
WTR040609	51	32.5	60.6	36.8	68.1	30.3	2.3	ND(0.1)	65.8	30.2	54.6	21.3	30.3	19.8	20.3	11.3
WTR041109	73.5	15	101.5	13	53	16.4	13.8	ND(0.1)	39.2	16.3	29.1	15.1	26.1	11.6	22.5	10
WTR060809	199	46	146.4	43.5	391.7	50.4	67.7	0.5	324	49.9	285.5	37.9	84.7	30.4	52.1	12.1
WTR062109	42.9	10	50.4	9.4	46	8.9	ND(0.1)	1.4	45.9	7.5	15.3	4.4	8.1	4.3	4.2	1.2
WTR071209	201.7	44.9	416.2	54.6	169.8	32.9	39.1	1	130.7	31.9	113.2	14.7	76.3	12.3	34.7	6.3
WTR082209	56	9.1	154	12.8	317	13.1	47	0.2	270	12.9	225	12.5	43.5	7.9	25	5.3
WTR091009	92.4	6.5	137	10.7	302	9.3	79.3	ND(0.1)	223	9.2	124	8.6	46.7	7.3	18.8	4.4
WTR092409	30.8	3.8	29.3	5	39.5	6.1	6.8	0.1	32.7	6	21.5	3.8	7.5	3.5	7.1	0.9
WTR092709	102	35	141	34.3	314.6	37.4	54.6	2.1	260	35.3	154	34.6	59.4	31	41.8	13.9
WTR100309	81.7	24.1	62	27.1	255.7	27.3	49.7	ND(1.0)	206	27	116	24.8	38.1	19.4	27.7	10
WTR120209	48	7	119	7.5	164	11.7	21.5	1.14	142	10.6	64.6	9.4	20.4	5.5	7.8	3.8
WTR120909	128	12	225	17.6	292	12.7	17.1	0.18	275	12.5	100	10.8	27.3	8.7	14.6	5.3
WTR012510	161	39.1	290	50.7	531	42.2	50.6	0.12	480	42.1	319	29.5	144	33.6	281	28.8
WTR031210	113	35.5	233	38.1	325	37.6	80.6	ND(0.1)	244	37.5	129	35	59.5	29.6	37.8	22.1
Min	30.8	3.8	29.3	5.0	39.5	6.1	0.1	0.1	32.7	6.0	15.3	3.8	7.5	3.5	3.2	0.9
Max	1810.0	126.5	416.2	137.0	2095.0	132.3	80.6	2.1	2040.0	131.0	319.0	109.0	144.0	101.0	281.0	64.6
Median	107.5	28.1	143.7	24.9	273.9	22.5	36.4	0.2	219.3	22.3	120.0	14.9	45.1	15.9	23.8	8.2
Mean	212.5	34.4	169.4	36.2	365.0	34.8	35.3	0.6	329.7	34.2	139.4	27.5	54.9	26.2	39.5	14.0

 Table 7 Suspended Solids Event Mean Concentrations (EMCs) for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37

 study site

ND = Non-detect NT = Not Tested

	TVSS		TVSS		TV	VSS	TV	VSS	TV	'SS	TVSS	
Event ID	(>200)0µm)	(<200	0µm)	(<50	0µm)	(<10	0µm)	(<50	μm)	(m	g/l)
	(m	g/l)	(m	g/l)	(m	g/l)	(m	g/l)	(m	g/l)		
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent
WTR092508	42.9	ND(0.2)	1765	6.8	35.3	3.9	NT	NT	1.5	1.8	1807.9	7
WTR111508	2.6	ND(0.1)	22.7	6.4	8	1.9	NT	NT	3.2	1.3	25.3	6.5
WTR032909	17.9	ND(0.2)	89.7	36.8	60.6	36.1	34.4	31.8	19.4	20.7	108	37
WTR040309	7.1	1.1	63.3	30.5	36.7	27.8	24.1	24	9.2	10.5	70.4	30.6
WTR040609	0.1	ND(0.1)	2.4	2.4	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.3	0.5	0.8	2.5	2.5
WTR041109	10.7	ND(0.1)	16.4	3.9	14.7	2.7	13.8	1.6	13.5	1	27.1	4
WTR060809	47.3	0.4	91.4	17.8	61.8	13.8	23	10.1	14.2	3.7	138.7	18.2
WTR062109	ND(0.1)	0.4	34.2	7.5	5.6	4.4	5.2	3.5	2.7	1.2	34.3	7.9
WTR071209	31.3	0.8	59.3	17.9	45	7	ND(1.2)	5.1	13.2	4	90.6	18.7
WTR082209	30.5	0.1	80.1	5.8	38.5	6.1	13.6	ND(1.2)	9	2.3	111	5.9
WTR091009	47.5	ND(0.1)	105	4.9	45.1	5.4	17.4	4.4	9.5	1.8	153	5
WTR092409	3.9	0.1	30.3	3.8	9.2	2.4	3.5	3.5	4.5	4.2	34.2	3.9
WTR092709	46.2	0.3	116	19.8	52.6	18.9	29.1	16.8	21	7.7	162.2	20.1
WTR100309	40.6	0.2	87.8	13.6	38.1	12.9	15.7	10.6	12	3.3	128.4	13.8
WTR120209	17.6	1.1	85.8	6	28.2	4.8	8.7	2.6	3.9	2.1	103	6.1
WTR120909	14.9	0.17	179.2	5.4	49.2	5.1	10.9	4.1	7.1	2.1	194	5.6
WTR012510	23.3	ND(0.1)	158	16.2	84.9	10.2	44.2	10.2	29.1	5.2	181	16.3
WTR031210	57.3	ND(0.1)	136	15.1	50.6	14.3	20.6	11.5	12.6	8.7	193	15.2
Min	0.1	0.1	2.4	2.4	1.1	1.5	0.7	1.2	0.5	0.8	2.5	2.5
Max	57.3	1.1	1765.0	36.8	84.9	36.1	44.2	31.8	29.1	20.7	1807.9	37.0
Median	20.6	0.2	86.8	7.2	38.3	5.8	14.8	4.8	9.4	2.8	109.5	7.5
Mean	24.5	0.3	173.5	12.3	37.0	10.0	16.6	8.9	10.3	4.6	198.0	12.5

Table 8 Total Volatile Suspended Solids Event Mean Concentrations (EMCs) for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA MarketRoute 37 study site

ND = Non-detect

NT = Not Tested

	Coarse Soli		Sa	nd	Silt		
Event ID	(mir	neral)	(mir	neral)	(mir	neral)	
	(material	>2000um)	(material 200	Oum to 50um)	(materia	l <50um)	
	(m	g/l)	(m	g/l)	(m	ig/l)	
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	
WTR092508	12.20	ND(0.20)	263.00	9.20	12.00	ND(1.60)	
WTR111508	0.20	ND(0.10)	136.60	6.90	ND(1.00)	0.60	
WTR032909	15.70	ND(0.20)	641.00	44.30	50.30	43.90	
WTR040309	6.60	ND(0.20)	132.80	64.20	19.50	36.30	
WTR040609	2.20	ND(0.10)	43.60	17.30	19.80	10.50	
WTR041109	3.10	ND(0.10)	13.80	3.40	9.00	9.00	
WTR060809	20.40	ND(0.10)	194.70	23.70	37.90	8.40	
WTR062109	ND(0.10)	1.00	10.20	ND(1.20)	1.50	ND(1.20)	
WTR071209	7.80	0.20	49.90	11.70	21.50	2.30	
WTR082209	16.50	0.10	173.90	4.10	16.00	3.00	
WTR091009	31.80	ND(0.10)	108.70	1.70	9.30	2.60	
WTR092409	2.90	ND(0.10)	ND(1.20)	5.50	2.60	ND(1.20)	
WTR092709	8.40	1.80	123.20	9.30	20.80	6.20	
WTR100309	9.10	ND(0.10)	102.50	6.70	15.70	6.70	
WTR120209	3.90	ND(0.05)	52.30	2.90	3.90	1.70	
WTR120909	2.20	ND(0.05)	88.30	3.90	7.50	3.20	
WTR012510	27.30	ND(0.10)	70.10	2.30	251.90	23.60	
WTR031210	23.30	ND(0.10)	82.80	9.00	25.20	13.40	
Min	0.1	0.1	1.2	1.2	1.0	0.6	
Max	31.8	1.8	641.0	64.2	251.9	43.9	
Median	8.1	0.1	95.4	6.8	15.9	4.7	
Mean	10.8	0.3	127.1	12.6	29.2	9.7	

 Table 9 Calculated Parameters (mineral) Event Mean Concentrations (EMCs) for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA

 Market Route 37 study site

ND = Non-detect

Using SSC (<500 μ m), SSC (<100 μ m), and SSC (<50 μ m) EMC results the percent of corresponding SSC (<2000 μ m) EMC results was calculated. The calculated percentages of corresponding SSC (<2000 μ m) EMC results indicates the portions of material that are less than 500 μ m, 100 μ m, and 50 μ m in size and are summarized in Table 10.

Using TVSS EMC results the percent of corresponding TVSS results was calculated. The calculated percentages of corresponding TVSS ($<2000\mu m$) results indicates the portions of material that are less than 500 μm , 100 μm , and 50 μm in size and are summarized in Table 11.

Appendix A details system performance on an individual storm basis (discrete removal efficiency) using the Washington State Department of Ecology "individual storm reduction in pollutant concentration" method (WADOE, 2002 method #1)—the performance of the system over the course of a single storm event based upon EMC. Hydrograph and rainfall data from the events are also shown in Appendix A.

Performance was calculated using the summation of loads (SOL) method. The SOL method defines the efficiency as a percentage based on the ratio of the summation of all incoming loads to the summation of all outlet loads. The SOL method assumes; 1) monitoring data accurately represents the actual entire total loads in and out of the BMP for a period long enough to overshadow any temporary storage or export of pollutants and 2) any significant storm events that were not monitored had a ratio of inlet to outlet loads similar to the storm events that were monitored (URS/ EPA 1999). Sum of Loads (SOL) Efficiency Calculations for the 18 events sampled at the Wawa Market Route 37 study site are summarized in Tables 12, 13, and 14.

Detectible concentrations were observed for all parameters analyzed except for SSC (>2000µm) for the WTR092508, WTR111508, WTR032909, WTR040609, WTR041109, WTR062109, WTR091009, WTR100309 and WTR031210 events; TVSS (>2000µm) for the WTR092508, WTR040609, WTR041109, WTR062109, WTR111508, WTR032909, WTR091009. WTR012510, and WTR031210 events; TVSS (<100µm) for the WTR071209 and WTR082209 events; Coarse Solids (mineral) for the WTR092508, WTR111508, WTR032909, WTR040309, WTR040609. WTR041109, WTR060809. WTR062109, WTR082209, WTR091009. WTR092409, WTR100309, WTR120209, WTR120909, WTR012510, and WTR031210 events; Sand (mineral) for the WTR062109 and WTR092409 events; and Silt (mineral) for the WTR092508, WTR111508, WTR062109, and WTR092409 events. For values that were reported as non-detect substitutions were made using the Method Reporting Limit (MRL) for statistical testing or calculation of event loads.

Table 10 Calculated percentages of material less than 500 µm and 50 µm for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site

Event ID	SSC (<5 SSC (<2	500-um)/ 000-um)	SSC (< SSC (<2	50-um)/ 2000-um)	SSC (<1 SSC (<2	100-um)/ 2000-um)
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent
WTR092508	12%	66%	1%	19%	NT	NT
WTR111508	64%	31%	2%	14%	NT	NT
WTR032909	37%	87%	9%	52%	16%	81%
WTR040309	59%	82%	13%	36%	39%	71%
WTR040609	83%	71%	31%	37%	46%	66%
WTR041109	74%	93%	57%	61%	67%	71%
WTR060809	88%	76%	16%	24%	26%	61%
WTR062109	33%	59%	9%	16%	18%	57%
WTR071209	87%	46%	27%	20%	58%	39%
WTR082209	83%	97%	9%	41%	16%	61%
WTR091009	56%	93%	8%	48%	21%	79%
WTR092409	66%	63%	22%	15%	23%	58%
WTR092709	59%	98%	16%	39%	23%	88%
WTR100309	56%	92%	13%	37%	18%	72%
WTR120209	45%	89%	5%	36%	14%	52%
WTR120909	36%	86%	5%	42%	10%	70%
WTR012510	66%	70%	59%	68%	30%	80%
WTR031210	53%	93%	15%	59%	24%	79%
Min	12%	31%	1%	14%	10%	39%
Max	88%	98%	59%	68%	67%	88%
Median	59%	84%	13%	37%	23%	70%
Mean	59%	77%	18%	37%	28%	68%

Event ID	TVSS (< (mg/l) (<2000-u	2000-um) / SSC m) (mg/l)	TVSS (< (mg/l) (<500-u	<500-um)) / SSC m) (mg/l)	TVSS ((mg/l) / S um)	<50-um) SSC (<50- (mg/l)	TVSS (> (mg/l) (>2000-u	2000-um) / SSC m) (mg/l)	TVSS SSC((mg/l) / [mg/l)	TVSS (< (mg/l) / S um) (<100-um) SC (<100- (mg/l)
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent
WTR092508	87%	39%	15%	34%	11%	55%	78%	100%	86%	40%	NT	NT
WTR111508	14%	46%	8%	44%	100%	68%	93%	100%	16%	46%	NT	NT
WTR032909	11%	29%	21%	33%	28%	32%	53%	100%	13%	30%	28%	31%
WTR040309	29%	23%	29%	26%	32%	22%	52%	85%	31%	23%	28%	26%
WTR040609	4%	8%	2%	7%	2%	7%	4%	100%	4%	8%	2%	7%
WTR041109	42%	24%	51%	18%	60%	10%	78%	100%	51%	24%	53%	14%
WTR060809	28%	36%	22%	36%	27%	31%	70%	80%	35%	36%	27%	33%
WTR062109	75%	100%	37%	100%	64%	100%	100%	29%	75%	89%	64%	81%
WTR071209	45%	56%	40%	48%	38%	63%	80%	80%	53%	57%	2%	41%
WTR082209	30%	45%	17%	49%	36%	43%	65%	50%	35%	45%	31%	15%
WTR091009	47%	53%	36%	63%	51%	41%	60%	100%	51%	54%	37%	60%
WTR092409	93%	63%	43%	63%	63%	467%	57%	100%	87%	64%	47%	100%
WTR092709	45%	56%	34%	55%	50%	55%	85%	14%	52%	54%	49%	54%
WTR100309	43%	50%	33%	52%	43%	33%	82%	67%	50%	51%	41%	55%
WTR120209	60%	57%	44%	51%	50%	55%	82%	96%	63%	52%	43%	47%
WTR120909	65%	43%	49%	47%	49%	40%	87%	94%	66%	44%	40%	47%
WTR012510	33%	38%	27%	35%	10%	18%	46%	83%	34%	39%	31%	30%
WTR031210	56%	40%	39%	41%	33%	39%	71%	100%	59%	40%	35%	39%
Min	4%	8%	2%	7%	2%	7%	4%	14%	4%	8%	2%	7%
Max	93%	100%	51%	100%	100%	467%	100%	100%	87%	89%	64%	100%
Median	44%	44%	34%	46%	41%	40%	74%	95%	51%	45%	36%	40%
Mean	45%	45%	30%	45%	42%	66%	69%	80%	48%	44%	35%	43%

Table 11 Calculated percentages of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in nature for the 18 events sampled at the WAWA Market Route 37 study site

Table 12 Suspended Solids Event Sum of I	oads (SOL) Efficiency Calculations for	r the 18 events sampled at the WAWA Market Route
37 study site		

Event ID	TSS (<200 (k	5-SM)0μm) (g)	TSS- (<200 (k	·EPA)0μm) sg)	S5 (k	SC (g)	SS (>200 (k	SC 00μm) :g)	SS (<200 (k	SC 0μm) :g)	SS (<50 (k	SC 0µm) :g)	SS (<10 (k	SC 0µm) ig)	SS (<50 (k	SC 0µm) ig)
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent
WTR092508	526.5	5.7	109.4	6.6	609.4	5.1	16.0	0.1	593.4	5.1	69.8	3.3	NT	NT	3.9	1.0
WTR111508	7.6	1.2	4.7	0.6	5.9	0.5	0.1	0.0	5.8	0.5	3.7	0.2	NT	NT	0.1	0.1
WTR032909	9.4	4.7	7.6	4.5	32.1	4.9	1.3	0.0	30.7	4.9	11.4	4.3	4.8	4.0	2.7	2.5
WTR040309	3.5	2.4	3.5	2.6	4.4	2.5	0.3	0.0	4.1	2.5	2.4	2.0	1.6	1.8	0.5	0.9
WTR040609	2.5	1.6	3.0	1.8	3.3	1.5	0.1	0.0	3.2	1.5	2.7	1.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.6
WTR041109	4.4	0.9	6.1	0.8	3.2	1.0	0.8	0.0	2.3	1.0	1.7	0.9	1.6	0.7	1.3	0.6
WTR060809	25.3	5.8	18.6	5.5	49.8	6.4	8.6	0.1	41.2	6.3	36.3	4.8	10.8	3.9	6.6	1.5
WTR062109	2.6	0.6	3.0	0.6	2.8	0.5	0.0	0.1	2.8	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.1
WTR071209	11.4	2.5	23.4	3.1	9.6	1.9	2.2	0.1	7.4	1.8	6.4	0.8	4.3	0.7	2.0	0.4
WTR082209	4.9	0.8	13.5	1.1	27.7	1.1	4.1	0.0	23.6	1.1	19.7	1.1	3.8	0.7	2.2	0.5
WTR091009	18.5	1.3	27.5	2.1	60.6	1.9	15.9	0.0	44.8	1.8	24.9	1.7	9.4	1.5	3.8	0.9
WTR092409	1.3	0.2	1.2	0.2	1.6	0.3	0.3	0.0	1.3	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.0
WTR092709	7.0	2.4	9.7	2.4	21.7	2.6	3.8	0.1	17.9	2.4	10.6	2.4	4.1	2.1	2.9	1.0
WTR100309	3.5	1.0	2.7	1.2	11.1	1.2	2.2	0.0	8.9	1.2	5.0	1.1	1.6	0.8	1.2	0.4
WTR120209	9.0	1.3	22.4	1.4	30.8	2.2	4.0	0.2	26.7	2.0	12.1	1.8	3.8	1.0	1.5	0.7
WTR120909	31.4	2.9	55.2	4.3	71.7	3.1	4.2	0.0	67.5	3.1	24.5	2.7	6.7	2.1	3.6	1.3
WTR012510	13.4	3.2	24.1	4.2	44.1	3.5	4.2	0.0	39.8	3.5	26.5	2.4	11.9	2.8	23.3	2.4
WTR031210	24.0	7.5	49.5	8.1	69.1	8.0	17.1	0.0	51.8	8.0	27.4	7.4	12.6	6.3	8.0	4.7
Total	706.2	46.2	385.0	51.1	1058.6	48.2	85.2	0.8	973.3	47.4	287.0	38.4	79.3	29.8	65.2	19.5
SOL Efficiency	9	03	8	37	9	5	9	9	9	5	8	7	6	3	7	0

	TV	/SS	TV	/SS	TV	/SS	TV	VSS	TV	/SS	TV	/SS
Event ID	(>200)0µm)	(<200)0µm)	(<50	0µm)	(<10	0µm)	(<50)µm)	(k	(g)
	(k	(g)	(k	(g)	(k	kg)	(k	(g)	(k	(g)		
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent
WTR092508	12.5	0.1	513.4	2.0	10.3	1.1	NT	NT	0.4	0.5	525.9	2.0
WTR111508	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.1	NT	NT	0.1	0.0	0.9	0.2
WTR032909	0.7	0.0	3.5	1.4	2.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	0.8	0.8	4.2	1.5
WTR040309	0.1	0.0	1.2	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	1.3	0.6
WTR040609	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
WTR041109	0.6	0.0	1.0	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.8	0.1	1.6	0.2
WTR060809	6.0	0.1	11.6	2.3	7.9	1.8	2.9	1.3	1.8	0.5	17.6	2.3
WTR062109	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	2.1	0.5
WTR071209	1.8	0.0	3.3	1.0	2.5	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.2	5.1	1.1
WTR082209	2.7	0.0	7.0	0.5	3.4	0.5	1.2	0.1	0.8	0.2	9.7	0.5
WTR091009	9.5	0.0	21.1	1.0	9.1	1.1	3.5	0.9	1.9	0.4	30.7	1.0
WTR092409	0.2	0.0	1.2	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	1.4	0.2
WTR092709	3.2	0.0	8.0	1.4	3.6	1.3	2.0	1.2	1.4	0.5	11.2	1.4
WTR100309	1.8	0.0	3.8	0.6	1.6	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.1	5.6	0.6
WTR120209	3.3	0.2	16.1	1.1	5.3	0.9	1.6	0.5	0.7	0.4	19.4	1.1
WTR120909	3.7	0.0	44.0	1.3	12.1	1.3	2.7	1.0	1.7	0.5	47.6	1.4
WTR012510	1.9	0.0	13.1	1.3	7.0	0.8	3.7	0.8	2.4	0.4	15.0	1.4
WTR031210	12.2	0.0	28.9	3.2	10.8	3.0	4.4	2.4	2.7	1.8	41.0	3.2
Total	60.2	0.6	680.3	18.9	78.5	15.4	25.8	11.2	17.4	7.1	740.5	19.3
SOL Efficiency	9	9	9	7	8	80	5	57	6	50	9	7

Table 13 Total Volatile Suspended Solids Event Sum of Loads (SOL) Efficiency Calculations for the 18 events sampled at the WAWAMarket Route 37 study site

	Coarse	e Solids	Sa	nd	Si	ilt
Event ID	(mir	neral)	(min	eral)	(mir	neral)
	(1	kg)	(k	(g)	()	kg)
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent
WTR092508	3.5	0.1	76.5	2.7	3.5	0.4
WTR111508	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.3	0.0	0.0
WTR032909	0.6	0.0	25.2	1.7	2.0	1.7
WTR040309	0.1	0.0	2.5	1.2	0.4	0.7
WTR040609	0.1	0.0	2.1	0.8	1.0	0.5
WTR041109	0.2	0.0	0.8	0.2	0.5	0.5
WTR060809	2.6	0.0	24.7	3.0	4.8	1.1
WTR062109	0.0	0.1	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.1
WTR071209	0.4	0.0	2.8	0.7	1.2	0.1
WTR082209	1.4	0.0	15.2	0.4	1.4	0.3
WTR091009	6.4	0.0	21.8	0.3	1.9	0.5
WTR092409	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.0
WTR092709	0.6	0.1	8.5	0.6	1.4	0.4
WTR100309	0.4	0.0	4.4	0.3	0.7	0.3
WTR120209	0.7	0.0	9.8	0.5	0.7	0.3
WTR120909	0.5	0.0	21.7	1.0	1.8	0.8
WTR012510	2.3	0.0	5.8	0.2	20.9	2.0
WTR031210	5.0	0.0	17.6	1.9	5.4	2.8
Total	25.0	0.4	245.3	16.1	47.8	12.7
SOL Efficiency	9	8	9	3	7	4

Table 14 Calculated Parameters (mineral) Event Sum of Loads (SOL) Efficiency Calculations for the 18 events sampled at the WAWAMarket Route 37 study site

4.2 Test Results

Suspended Solids Parameters

Influent EMCs for TSS-SM (<2000 μ m) ranged from 30.8 mg/l to 1810.0 mg/l with a median of 107.5 mg/l and a mean of 212.5 mg/l. Corresponding effluent EMCs ranged from 3.8 mg/l to 126.5 mg/l with a median of 28.1 mg/l and a mean of 34.4 mg/l. Total event loadings for the study were 706.2 kg at the influent and 46.2 kg at the effluent sampling location, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 93%.

Influent EMCs for SSC (<2000 μ m) ranged from 32.7 mg/l to 2040.0 mg/l with a median of 219.3 mg/l and a mean of 329.7 mg/l. Corresponding effluent EMCs ranged from 6.0 mg/l to 132.3 mg/l with a median of 22.3 mg/l and a mean of 34.2 mg/l. Total event loadings for the study were 973.3 kg at the influent and 47.4 kg at the effluent sampling location, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 95%.

Influent EMCs for SSC (<500 μ m) ranged from 15.3 mg/l to 319.0 mg/l with a median of 120.0 mg/l and a mean of 139.4 mg/l. Corresponding effluent EMCs ranged from 3.8 mg/l to 109.0 mg/l with a median of 14.9 mg/l and a mean of 27.5 mg/l. Total event loadings for the study were 287.0 kg at the influent and 38.4 kg at the effluent sampling location, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 87%. For each storm event the percent of SSC (<2000 μ m) represented by SSC (<500 μ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC (<2000 μ m) were, 59% and 84% respectively. The percentage of corresponding SSC (<2000 μ m) results indicates the portion of material that are less than 500 μ m in size.

Influent EMCs for SSC (<100 μ m) ranged from 7.5 mg/l to 144.0 mg/l with a median of 45.1 mg/l and a mean of 54.9 mg/l. Corresponding effluent EMCs ranged from 3.5 mg/l to 101.0 mg/l with a median of 15.9 mg/l and a mean of 26.2 mg/l. Total event loadings for the study were 79.3 kg at the influent and 29.8 kg at the effluent sampling location, resulting in an over all removal efficiency of 63%. For each storm event the percent of SSC (<2000 μ m) represented by SSC (<100 μ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC (<2000 μ m) were, 23% and 70% respectively. The percentage of corresponding SSC (<2000 μ m) results indicates the portions of material that are less than 100 μ m in size.

Influent EMCs for SSC (<50 μ m) ranged from 3.2 mg/l to 281.0 mg/l with a median of 23.8 mg/l and a mean of 39.5 mg/l. Corresponding effluent EMCs ranged from 0.9 mg/l to 64.6 mg/l with a median of 8.2 mg/l and a mean of 14.0 mg/l. Total event loadings for the study were 65.2 kg at the influent and 19.5 kg at the effluent sampling location, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 70%. For each storm event the percent of SSC (<2000 μ m) represented by SSC (<50 μ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC (<2000 μ m) were, 13% and 37% respectively. The percentage of corresponding SSC (<2000 μ m) results indicates the portion of material that are less than 50 μ m in size.

Influent and effluent median EMCs for TSS-EPA ($<2000\mu$ m) were 143.7 mg/l and 24.9 mg/l respectively resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 87%. Influent and effluent median EMCs for SSC ($>2000\mu$ m) were 36.4 mg/l and 0.2 mg/l respectively resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 99%. Influent and effluent median EMCs for SSC were 273.9 mg/l and 22.8 mg/l respectively resulting in an over all removal efficiency of 95%.

Volatile Suspended Solids Parameters

Influent and effluent median EMCs for TVSS (<2000 μ m) were 86.8 mg/l and 7.2 mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 97%.For each storm event the percent of SSC (<2000 μ m) represented by TVSS (<2000 μ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC (<2000 μ m) were, 44% and 44% respectively. Percentage of corresponding SSC (<2000 μ m) results indicates the percent of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in nature.

Influent and effluent median EMCs for TVSS ($<500\mu$ m) were 38.3 mg/l and 5.8mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 80%. For each storm event the percent of SSC ($<500\mu$ m) represented by TVSS ($<500\mu$ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC ($<500\mu$ m) were 34% and 46% respectively. Percentage of corresponding SSC ($<500\mu$ m) results indicates the percent of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in nature.

Influent and effluent median EMCs for TVSS (<100 μ m) were 14.8 mg/l and 4.8 mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 57%. For each storm event the percent of SSC (<100 μ m) represented by TVSS (<100 μ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC (<100 μ m) were 36% and 40% respectively. Percentage of corresponding SSC (<100 μ m) results indicates the percent of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in nature.

Influent and effluent median EMCs for TVSS ($<50\mu$ m) were 9.4 mg/l and 2.8 mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 60%. For each storm event the percent of SSC ($<50\mu$ m) represented by TVSS ($<50\mu$ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC ($<50\mu$ m) were 41% and 40% respectively. Percentage of corresponding SSC ($<50\mu$ m) results indicates the percent of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in nature.

Influent and effluent median EMCs for TVSS (>2000 μ m) were 20.6 mg/l and 0.2 mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 99%. For each storm event the percent of SSC (>2000 μ m) represented by TVSS (>2000 μ m) was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC (>2000 μ m) were 74% and 95% respectively. Percentage of corresponding SSC (>2000 μ m) results indicates the percent of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in nature. For each storm event the percent of SSC represented by TVSS was calculated. Influent and effluent median percentages of SSC were 51% and 45% respectively. Percentage of corresponding SSC results indicates the percent of combustible materials that are assumed to be organic in nature.

Additional Parameters

Influent and effluent median EMCs for Coarse Solids (mineral) were 8.1 mg/l and 0.1 mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 98%. Influent and effluent median EMCs for Sand (mineral) were 95.4 mg/l and 6.8 mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 93%. Influent and effluent median EMCs for Silt (mineral) were 15.9 mg/l and 4.7 mg/l respectively, resulting in an overall removal efficiency of 74%.

4.3 System Maintenance and Residual Solids Assessment Results

In an effort to verify the capture of materials by the Vortechs system over the course of the 20-month monitoring period a qualitative assessment of materials captured by the system was performed during the maintenance event conducted at the conclusion of the project. In order to safely enter the system a vactor truck was used to dewater the system. Following the dewatering of the system, a sediment sample

was collected of materials contained in the system and a sediment depth measurement taken. Subsamples were then taken from the collected sediment sample and analyzed for bulk density and particle size distribution. Prior to particle size distribution analysis, the sample was passed through a 2000µm sieve in an effort to isolate soil separates. Particle size analysis of materials <2000µm revealed that the materials contained in the Vortechs system had a Loamy Sand texture (USDA classification) as seen in Figure 7. The estimated mass of materials contained in the system, after dewatering, was approximately 800kg. The mass of materials contained in the system was estimated using depth measurements and bulk density results. The majority of the material contained in the system was located in the treatment chamber, with minor amounts of sediment located outside of the treatment chamber. The accuracy of the estimated mass of materials contained in the system should be considered limited, due to the non-uniform distribution of materials in the system as well as the unaccounted for material removed by the vactor truck during the dewatering process.



Figure 7 Textural triangle showing particle size analysis of materials captured in the Vortechs system

Particle Size Distribution Analysis Results

The particle size distribution (PSD) results obtained using the Laser Diffraction method (ASTM D4464) are summarized in Table 15. Results suggest the average d_{50} is greater than 100µm for both influent and effluent sampling locations for all three events submitted for analysis. These results are supported by the observed (SSC<2000µm) removal efficiency of greater than 90%, which is typically associated with

coarser solids with a d_{50} greater than 100 μ m. However, given that variations in particle density are not considered by the Laser Diffraction method the precision of the PSD results obtained using the Laser Diffraction method should be viewed as limited as compared to the serial filtration method.

Table	15	Particle	size	distribution	analysis	results	using	ASTM	D4464	(Laser	Diffraction)	for
events	sar	npled at	the V	VAWA Mark	et Route	37 stud	y site					

Event ID	SAN	D (%)	SILT	ſ (%)	CLA	Y (%)	d ₅₀ (microns)		
	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	Influent	Effluent	
WTR091009	79.43	96.33	20.15	3.67	0.42	0.00	504.13	1129.99	
WTR111508	81.05	50.42	18.39	46.76	0.56	2.62	337.78	76.09	
WTR120209	96.71	100.00	3.29	0.00	0.00	0.00	1238.51	1217.28	
Median	81.05	96.33	18.39	3.67	0.42	0.00	504.13	1129.99	
Mean	85.73	82.25	13.94	16.81	0.33	0.87	693.47	807.79	

Influent PSD obtained using the serial filtration method covering the 6350 μ m to 1.5 μ m particle size range suggests that the average d₅₀ (~500 μ m) is greater than 100 μ m for all of the events captured to date, as shown in Figure 8. The upper size limit of 6350 μ m is approximately equal to the sample strainer opening. It is assumed that particles larger then the opening will not be sampled. The lower size limit of 1.5 μ m is equal to the pore size of filters used by the Analytical Laboratory for SSC analysis. Particle size distribution results are supported by observed SSC (<2000 μ m) removal efficiency rates of greater than 90%



Figure 8 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution

Influent PSD obtained using the serial filtration method covering the 500 μ m to 1.5 μ m particle size range reflect an average d₅₀ that is slightly more than 100 μ m (~125 μ m) for all the events captured to date, as seen in Figure 9.



Figure 9 Influent particle size distribution generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range; dashed line represents mean particle size distribution

Influent and effluent mean PSDs were compared using data obtained using serial filtration covering the 6350 μ m to 1.5 μ m particle size range, as seen in Figure 10. Plotted results indicate that the average d₅₀ value was ~ 500 μ m for the influent sampling location and ~70 μ m for the effluent sampling location.



Figure 10 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 6350µm to 1.5µm particle size range

Influent and effluent mean PSDs were compared using data obtained using serial filtration covering the 500 μ m to 1.5 μ m particle size range, as seen in Figure 11. Plotted results indicate that the average d₅₀ value was ~125 μ m for the influent sampling location and ~70 μ m for the effluent sampling location.



Figure 11 Comparison of mean influent and effluent particle size distributions generated using serial filtration covering 500µm to 1.5µm particle size range

4.4 Summary

Between September of 2008 and April of 2010, 18 storm events were monitored and all were determined to meet the storm data collection requirements as per New Jersey Tier II Stormwater Test Requirements—Amendments to TARP Tier II Protocol (NJDEP, 2006) and the NJDEP interpretation of TARP (2003). Total rainfall depth for the 18 qualified events was 23.67 inches and two events exceeded 75% of the design treatment capacity, thus satisfying TARP Tier II and NJDEP completeness criteria.

Significant reductions for suspended solids loads were observed between influent and effluent sampling locations: SSC 95%, SSC (>2000µm) 99%, SSC (<2000µm) 95%, TSS-SM (<2000µm) 93%, TSS-EPA (<2000µm) 87%, SSC (<500µm) 87%, SSC (<100µm) 63% and SSC (<50µm) 70%.

The capture of solids by the system was verified as part of the residual solids assessment during the post monitoring maintenance event. On April 10, 2010 the sediment depth in the swirl chamber of the Vortechs Model 4000 was estimated to be 12 inches based on physical depth measurements taken onsite. This translates to an estimated volume of 28 ft³ and confirms that the Vortechs system achieved positive removal of stormwater solids and associated pollutants during the study.

5. Performance Claim Verification

Given that the performance standard is based on TSS-SM and TSS-SM removal efficiency results for this study are associated with suspended solids with a d_{50} greater than 100µm, the review of additional data was required to further understand removal efficiency results. In general removal efficiency results in excess of 90% are not typical for a flow through gravity separation technology but are within the realm of expected performance associated with observed influent TSS-SM EMCs with a d_{50} greater than 100µm. In an effort to isolate suspended sediment removal efficiency based on specific particle size ranges, SSC samples were sieved prior to analysis. The particle size ranges that were isolated for this study include 6350µm to 1.5µm, 2000µm to 1.5µm, 500µm to 1.5µm, 100µm to 1.5µm, and 50µm to 1.5µm.

The isolation of suspended solids removal efficiency based on particles 500 μ m to 1.5 μ m with a d₅₀ slightly greater than 100 μ m, particles between 100 μ m and 1.5 μ m with a d₅₀ less than 100 μ m, and particles between 50 μ m and 1.5 μ m with a d₅₀ less than 50 μ m resulted in overall removal efficiencies of 87%, 63%, and 70% respectively. The use of these results is proposed in order to satisfy the site selection requirements as per New Jersey Tier II Stormwater Test Requirements—Amendments to TARP Tier II Protocol (NJDEP, 2006) and the NJDEP interpretation of TARP (2003).

Recognizing the potential for bias towards the sampling of coarse mineral solids using accepted sampling techniques, removal efficiency based on finer mineral particles smaller than 50 μ m was isolated. Finer mineral particles smaller than 50 μ m (Silt (mineral)) are generally expected to be more or less uniformly distributed throughout the water column. Silt (mineral) results were calculated by subtracting the volatile suspended solids results (TVSS <50 μ m) composed of combustible materials assumed to be organic in nature from the total suspended results (SSC <50 μ m). Removal efficiency based on Silt (mineral) results resulted in an over all removal efficiency of 74% which compares favorably with the 70% removal of SSC <50 μ m. In addition to providing a much more conservative removal efficiency result, research by (Rutgers/ NJDEP, 2006) suggests the difference between TSS and SSC results becomes smaller as the particle size of the material analyzed becomes finer.

Recognizing the potential of a limited number of storm events to dominate sum of loads performance efficiency calculations, storm events with TSS-SM (<2000µm) EMCs less than 500 mg/l were segregated from the data set and evaluated (Note: This involved removing only one sampling event – WTR092508.). Significant reductions for suspended solids loads were observed between influent and effluent sampling locations: TSS-SM (<2000µm) 77%, TSS-EPA (<2000µm) 84%, SSC 90%, SSC (>2000µm) 99%, SSC (<2000µm) 89 %, SSC(<500µm) 84%, SSC (<100µm) 63%, SSC (<50µm) 70%, Coarse solids (mineral) 98%, Sand (mineral) 92%, and Silt (mineral) 72%.

The primary purpose of this project was to document Vortechs system performance with respect to suspended solids removal and quantify performance in accordance with the TARP Protocol for Stormwater Best Management Practice Demonstrations and NJDEP Tier II monitoring requirements.

The Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System Model 4000 installed online at the Wawa Market Route 37 study site sized based on the New Jersey Water Quality Design Storm to treat a maximum water quality flow rate of 2.13 cfs and a peak flow of 2.4 cfs demonstrated suspended solids removal greater than 60% of particles with a d_{50} less than 100µm. The Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System Model 4000 also demonstrated the ability to remove greater than 80% of stormwater solids when the influent PSD is predominantly sand sized particles (50-2000 microns).

6. Net Environmental Benefit

The Vortechs Stormwater Treatment System requires no input of raw materials, has no moving parts and therefore uses no water or energy other than that provided by stormwater runoff. During the 20-month monitoring period the mass of materials captured and retained by the Vortechs system was approximately 800 kg (1760 lbs). This material would otherwise have been released to the environment during runoff producing rain events.

7. References

CONTECH Stormwater Solutions Inc. (CONTECH) (2008). Quality Assurance Project Plan for the Wawa Market Route 37 Vortechs Model 4000 Field Evaluation Portland, Oregon.

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) (2006). New Jersey Tier II Stormwater Test Requirements—Amendments to TARP Tier II Protocol. Trenton, New Jersey. Available online: http://www.state.nj.us/dep/dsr/bscit/NJStormwater_TierII.pdf

Technology Acceptance and Reciprocity Partnership (TARP) (2003). The Technology Acceptance Reciprocity Partnership Protocol for Stormwater Best Management Practice Demonstrations. Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. Available online:

http://www.dep.state.pa.us/dep/deputate/pollprev/techservices/tarp/pdffiles/Tier2protocol.pdf

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) (2002). Urban Stormwater BMP Performance Monitoring: A Guidance Manual for Meeting the National Stormwater BMP Database Requirements (EPA-821-B-02-001). Washington, D.C.: Available Online: http://epa.gov/waterscience/stormwater/montcomplete.pdf

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) (1980). Water Resources Division by Office of Water Quality (OWQ) Technical Memorandum No. 80.17

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). (1991) U.S. Geological Survey, Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations Reston, Virginia. D.R. Helsel and R.M. Hirsch

CONTECH Stormwater Solutions Inc. .(CONTECH) (2004) A Comparison of Methods to Determine the Particle Size Distribution of Solids in Stormwater Samples. Portland, Oregon.

URS Greiner Woodward Clyde, Urban Drainage and Flood Control District, Urban Water Resources Research Council (UWRRC) of ASCE, Office of Water US Environmental Protection Agency (URS/EPA) (1999). Development of Performance Measures Task 3.1 – Technical Memorandum Determining Urban Stormwater Best Management Practice (BMP) Removal Efficiencies. Washington, D.C.

Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Division of Science, Research and Technology. (Rutgers/NJDEP) (2006). Correlation of Total Suspended Solids (TSS) and Suspended Sediment Concentration (SSC) Test Methods: Trenton, Oregon: Qizhong (George) Guo.

APPENDIX A

INDIVIDUAL STORM REPORTS

Site: System Description: Event Date: Date of Last Maintenance: Antecedent Conditions: Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs 9/25/08 9/19/08 59 hours since last rain event, 0.28"

Hydrology

Total Precipitation (in): Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage:

3.60 1047.00 (97% of design) 76849.00 74%

Event Hydrograph



Analytical

	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L))	_	Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Falailletei	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF: 19	Coarse solids (mineral)	12	ND	0.2	20%	98%
	Sand (mineral)	263	9	1.6	20%	97%
	<u>Silt (mineral)</u>	12.0	ND	1.6	20%	87%
	SSC	2095	17.7	1.6	3.37%	99%
	TVSS	1800	11	0.2	20%	99%
	SSC (>2000-um)	55.1	ND	0.2	3.37%	100%
	SSC (<2000-um)	2040	17.5	1.6	3.37%	99%
	SSC (<500-um)	240	11.5	1.6	3.37%	95%
	SSC (<50-um)	13.5	3.3	1.6	3.37%	76%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	42.9	ND	0.2	3.37%	100%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	1765.0	6.8	1.6	20%	100%
	TVSS (<500-um)	35	3.9	1.6	20%	89%
	TVSS (<50-um)	1.5	1.8	1.6	20%	undeterminable
	TSS (SM)	1810.0	19.7	4.0	9.7%	99%
	TSS (EPA)	376.0	22.7	4.0	12.2%	94%

Notes

Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ Site: Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs System Description: Event Date: 11/15/08 Date of Last Maintenance: 9/19/08 Antecedent Conditions: 34 hours since last rain event, 0.57" Hydrology Total Precipitation (in): 0.99 Peak Flow (gpm): 98.5 (9% of design)

Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage:

9631 93%

Event Hydrograph



	Baramatar	Con	centrations (mg/L)		Discrete Removal	
Number of Aliquots:	Parameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency	
IN/ EFF: 6	Coarse Solids (mineral)	0.2	ND	0.1	20%	50%	
	Sand (mineral)	136.6	6.9	1.0	20%	95%	
	Silt (mineral)	ND	0.6	1.0	20%	release	
	<u>SSC</u>	162	14.0	1.0	20%	91%	
	TVSS	25.3	6.5	1.0	20%	74%	
	SSC (>2000-um)	2.8	ND	0.1	20%	96%	
	SSC (<2000-um)	159.3	13.9	1.0	20%	91%	
	SSC (<500-um)	102.2	4.3	1.0	20%	96%	
	SSC (<50-um)	3.2	1.9	1.0	20%	41%	
	TVSS(>2000-um)	2.6	ND	0.1	20%	96%	
	TVSS (<2000-um)	22.7	6.4	2.2	20%	72%	
	TVSS (<500-um)	8.0	1.9	2.1	20%	76%	
	TVSS (<50-um)	3.2	1.3	1.0	20%	59%	
	TSS (SM)	209.6	32.0	5.0	5.7%	85%	
	TSS (EPA)	128.5	17.0	5.0	9.0%	87%	

Notes

Site:	Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ
System Description:	Vortechs 4000, 28 ft ² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs
Event Date:	03/29/09
Date of Last Maintenance:	9/19/08
Antecedent Conditions:	33 hours since last rain event, 0.25"
Hydrology	
Total Precipitation (in):	0.56
Peak Flow (gpm)	285 (26% of design)

Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage 0.56 285 (26% of design) 10394 87%

Event Hydrograph



yiicai		<u>^</u>		`		
	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L)		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:		Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/EFF: 6	Coarse solids (mineral)	16	ND	0.2	20%	99%
	Sand (mineral)	641	44	1.6	20%	93%
	Silt (mineral)	50	44	1.6	20%	undeterminable
	<u>SSC</u>	815	125	1.8	11.9%	85%
	TVSS	108	37	1.8	20%	66%
	SSC (>2000-um)	33.6	ND	0.2	11.9%	99%
	SSC (<2000-um)	781	125	1.8	11.9%	84%
	SSC (<500-um)	290	109	1.8	11.9%	62%
	SSC (<100-um)	122	101	1.8	11.9%	17%
	SSC (<50-um)	69.7	64.6	1.8	11.9%	undeterminable
	TVSS(>2000-um)	17.9	ND	0.2	20%	99%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	89.7	36.8	2.1	20%	59%
	TVSS (<500-um)	60.6	36.1	2.1	20%	40%
	TVSS (<100-um)	34.4	31.8	2.2	20%	undeterminable
	TVSS (<50-um)	19.4	20.7	2.1	20%	undeterminable
	TSS (SM)	238	120	5.0	20%	50%
	TSS (EPA)	192	114	5.0	20%	41%

Notes

Vol. Coverage:

Site:	Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ
System Description:	Vortechs 4000, 28 ft ² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs
Event Date:	04/03/09
Date of Last Maintenance:	9/19/08
Antecedent Conditions:	32 hours since last rain event, 0.38"
Hydrology	
Total Precipitation (in):	0.41
Peak Flow (gpm):	336 (31% of design)
Total Runoff Volume (gal):	5020

5020 80%

Event Hydrograph



Analytical

	Baramatar	Con	centrations (mg/L)		_	Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Falalletei	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/EFF: 8	Coarse solids (mineral)	6.6	ND	0.2	20%	97%
	Sand (mineral)	132.8	64.2	1.6	20%	52%
	<u>Silt (mineral)</u>	19.5	36.3	1.6	20%	release
	SSC	229.3	132.3	0.8	20%	42%
	TVSS	70.4	31.6	0.8	20%	55%
	SSC (>2000-um)	13.7	1.3	0.1	20%	91%
	SSC (<2000-um)	215.6	131.0	0.8	20%	39%
	SSC (<500-um)	126.9	107.8	0.8	20%	undeterminable
	SSC (<100-um)	84.6	93.5	0.8	20%	undeterminable
	SSC (<50-um)	28.7	46.8	0.8	20%	release
	TVSS(>2000-um)	7.1	1.1	0.1	20%	85%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	63.3	30.5	1.3	20%	52%
	TVSS (<500-um)	36.7	27.8	1.3	20%	24%
	TVSS (<100-um)	24.1	24.0	1.3	20%	undeterminable
	TVSS (<50-um)	9.2	10.5	1.3	20%	undeterminable
	TSS (SM)	186.3	126.5	5.0	15.3%	32%
	TSS (EPA)	186.8	137.0	4.0	16.3%	27%

Notes

Site:Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJSystem Description:Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfsEvent Date:04/06/09Date of Last Maintenance:9/19/08Antecedent Conditions:80 hours since last rain event, 0.41"HydrologyTotal Precipitation (in):0.66Peak Elow (app):96, (9% of design)

Precipitation (in): Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage: 0.66 96 (9% of design) 12956 64%

Event Hydrograph



Analytical							
	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L)		-	Discrete Removal	
Number of Aliquots:		Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency	
IN/ EF: 13	Coarse solids (mineral)	2.2	ND	0.1	20%	95%	
	Sand (mineral)	43.6	17.3	0.8	20%	60%	
	Silt (mineral)	19.8	10.5	0.8	20%	47%	
	<u>SSC</u>	68.1	30.3	0.8	20%	56%	
	TVSS	2.5	2.5	0.8	20%	undeterminable	
	SSC (>2000-um)	2.3	ND	0.1	7.2%	96%	
	SSC (<2000-um)	65.8	30.2	0.8	7.2%	54%	
	SSC (<500-um)	54.6	21.3	0.8	7.2%	61%	
	SSC (<100-um)	30.3	19.8	0.8	7.2%	35%	
	SSC (<50-um)	20.3	11.3	0.8	7.2%	44%	
	TVSS(>2000-um)	0.1	ND	0.1	20%	undeterminable	
	TVSS (<2000-um)	2.4	2.4	0.8	20%	undeterminable	
	TVSS (<500-um)	1.1	1.5	0.8	20%	release	
	TVSS (<100-um)	0.7	1.3	0.8	20%	release	
	TVSS (<50-um)	0.5	0.8	0.8	20%	release	
	TSS (SM)	51.0	32.5	5.0	6.2%	36%	
	TSS (EPA)	60.6	36.8	4.0	20%	39%	

Notes

Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ Site: Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs System Description: Event Date: 04/11/09 Date of Last Maintenance: 9/19/08 Antecedent Conditions: 113 hours since last rain event, 0.66" Hydrology Total Precipitation (in): 1.02 Peak Flow (gpm): 85 (8% of design)

Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage:

15755 89%

Event Hydrograph



	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L))		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Parameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF: 14	Coarse solids (mineral)	3.1	ND	0.1	20%	97%
	Sand (mineral)	13.8	3.4	1.6	20%	75%
	Silt (mineral)	9.0	9.0	2.5	20%	undeterminable
	<u>SSC</u>	53.0	16.4	0.6	8%	69%
	TVSS	27.1	4.0	2.5	20%	85%
	SSC (>2000-um)	13.8	ND	0.1	8%	99%
	SSC (<2000-um)	39.2	16.3	0.6	8%	58%
	SSC (<500-um)	29.1	15.1	0.6	8%	48%
	SSC (<100-um)	26.1	11.6	0.6	8%	56%
	SSC (<50-um)	22.5	10.0	2.5	8%	56%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	10.7	ND	0.1	20%	99%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	16.4	3.9	0.6	20%	76%
	TVSS (<500-um)	14.7	2.7	0.6	20%	82%
	TVSS (<100-um)	13.8	1.6	0.6	20%	88%
	TVSS (<50-um)	13.5	1.0	0.6	20%	93%
	TSS (SM)	73.5	15.0	2.5	15.0%	80%
	TSS (EPA)	101.5	13.0	2.5	20%	87%

Notes

Site: System Description: Event Date: Date of Last Maintenance: Antecedent Conditions: Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs 6/08/09 9/19/08 15 hours since last rain event, 0.09"

Hydrology

Total Precipitation (in): Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage:

1.37 663 (62% of design) 33569 66%

Event Hydrograph



	Deremeter	Con	centrations (mg/L)			Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Parameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/EFF: 22	Coarse solids (mineral)	20.4	ND	0.1	20%	100%
	Sand (mineral)	194.7	23.7	2.5	20%	88%
	Silt (mineral)	37.9	8.4	2.5	20%	78%
	SSC	391.7	50.4	0.6	0.8%	87%
	TVSS	138.7	18.2	0.6	20%	87%
	SSC (>2000-um)	67.7	0.5	0.1	0.8%	99%
	SSC (<2000-um)	324.0	49.9	0.6	0.8%	85%
	SSC (<500-um)	285.5	37.9	0.6	0.8%	87%
	SSC (<100-um)	84.7	30.4	0.6	0.8%	64%
	SSC (<50-um)	52.1	12.1	2.5	0.8%	77%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	47.3	0.4	0.1	20%	99%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	91.4	17.8	0.6	20%	81%
	TVSS (<500-um)	61.8	13.8	0.6	20%	78%
	TVSS (<100-um)	23.0	10.1	0.6	20%	56%
	TVSS (<50-um)	14.2	3.7	0.6	20%	74%
	TSS (SM)	199.0	46.0	2.5	20%	77%
	TSS (EPA)	146.4	43.5	2.5	20%	70%

Notes

a lution l

Site:	Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ
System Description:	Vortechs 4000, 28 ft ² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs
Event Date:	06/21/09
Date of Last Maintenance:	9/19/08
Antecedent Conditions:	8 hours since last rain event, 0.20"
Hydrology	
Total Precipitation (in):	0.70
Peak Flow (gpm):	377 (35% of design)

Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Volume Coverage: 0.70 377 (35% of design) 15845 76%

Event Hydrograph



	Baramatar	Con	centrations (mg/L)		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	raidilletei	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF: 12	Coarse solids (mineral)	ND	1.0	0.1	20%	release
	Sand (mineral)	10.2	ND	1.2	20%	88%
	Silt (mineral)	1.5	ND	1.2	20%	20%
	SSC	46.0	8.9	1.2	1.5%	81%
	TVSS	34.3	7.9	1.2	20%	77%
	SSC (>2000-um)	ND	1.4	0.1	1.5%	release
	SSC (<2000-um)	45.9	7.5	1.2	1.5%	84%
	SSC (<500-um)	15.3	4.4	1.2	1.5%	71%
	SSC (<100-um)	8.1	4.3	1.2	1.5%	47%
	SSC (<50-um)	4.2	1.2	1.2	1.5%	71%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	ND	0.4	0.1	20%	release
	TVSS (<2000-um)	34.2	7.5	1.2	20%	78%
	TVSS (<500-um)	5.6	4.4	1.2	20%	21%
	TVSS (<100-um)	5.2	3.5	1.2	20%	33%
	TVSS (<50-um)	2.7	1.2	1.2	20%	56%
	TSS (SM)	42.9	10.0	3.4	6.8%	77%
	TSS (EPA)	50.4	9.4	3.4	15.9%	81%

Notes

Site:	Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ
System Description:	Vortechs 4000, 28 ft ² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs
Event Date:	07/12/09
Date of Last Maintenance:	9/19/08
Antecedent Conditions:	254 hours since last rain event, 0.20"
Hydrology	
Total Precipitation (in):	0.74
Peak Flow (gpm)	561 (52% of design)

Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage: 0.74 561 (52% of design) 14869 91%

Event Hydrograph



	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L))		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Parameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF: 9	Coarse solids (mineral)	7.8	0.2	0.1	20%	97%
	Sand (mineral)	49.9	11.7	1.2	20%	77%
	Silt (mineral)	21.5	2.3	1.2	20%	89%
	<u>SSC</u>	169.8	32.9	1.2	18.8%	81%
	TVSS	90.6	18.7	1.2	20%	79%
	SSC (>2000-um)	39.1	1.0	0.1	18.8%	97%
	SSC (<2000-um)	130.7	31.9	1.2	18.8%	76%
	SSC (<500-um)	113.2	14.7	1.2	18.8%	87%
	SSC (<100-um)	76.3	12.3	1.2	18.8%	84%
	SSC (<50-um)	34.7	6.3	1.2	18.8%	82%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	31.3	0.8	0.1	20%	97%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	59.3	17.9	1.2	20%	70%
	TVSS (<500-um)	45.0	7.0	1.2	20%	84%
	TVSS (<100-um)	NA	5.1	1.2	20%	
	TVSS (<50-um)	13.2	4.0	1.2	20%	70%
	TSS (SM)	201.7	44.9	7.9	19.4%	78%
	TSS (EPA)	416.2	54.6	5.0	7.6%	87%

Notes



Ana	VIICO
лпа	vuoa

	Parameter	Concentrations (mg/L)			_	Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Falalletei	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/EFF: 10	Coarse solids (mineral)	16.5	ND	0.1	20%	99%
	Sand (mineral)	173.9	4.1	1.2	20%	98%
	<u>Silt (mineral)</u>	16.0	3.0	1.2	20%	81%
	SSC	317	13	1.2	20%	96%
	TVSS	111	6	1.2	20%	95%
	SSC (>2000-um)	47	0.2	0.1	20%	100%
	SSC (<2000-um)	270	12.9	1.2	20%	95%
	SSC (<500-um)	225	12.5	1.2	20%	94%
	SSC (<100-um)	43.5	7.9	1.2	20%	82%
	SSC (<50-um)	25	5.3	1.2	20%	79%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	30.5	0.1	0.1	20%	100%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	80.1	5.8	1.2	20%	93%
	TVSS (<500-um)	38.5	6.1	1.2	20%	84%
	TVSS (<100-um)	13.6	NT	1.2	20%	
	TVSS (<50-um)	9.0	2.3	1.2	20%	74%
	TSS (SM)	56	9.1	7.9	20%	84%
	TSS (EPA)	154	12.8	5.0	20%	92%

Notes

General Information Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ Site: Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40 cfs System Description: Event Date: 09/10/09 Date of Last Maintenance: 09/19/08 Antecedent Conditions: 280 hours since last rain event, 0.92" Hydrology Total Precipitation (in): 2.51 Peak Flow (gpm): 471 (44% of design) Total Runoff Volume (gal): 53031 Vol. Coverage: 87% Event Hydrograph



Analytical

-	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L)		Discrete Removal	
Number of Aliquots:		Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF: 23	Coarse solids (mineral)	31.8	ND	0.1	20%	100%
	Sand (mineral)	108.7	1.7	1.2	20%	98%
	Silt (mineral)	9.3	2.6	1.2	20%	72%
	<u>SSC</u>	302	9.3	1.2	3.1%	97%
	TVSS	153	5.0	1.2	20%	97%
	SSC (>2000-um)	79.3	ND	0.1	3.1%	100%
	SSC (<2000-um)	223	9.2	1.2	3.1%	96%
	SSC (<500-um)	124	8.6	1.2	3.1%	93%
•	SSC (<100-um)	46.7	7.3	1.2	3.1%	84%
	SSC (<50-um)	18.8	4.4	1.2	3.1%	77%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	47.5	ND	0.1	20%	100%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	105	4.9	1.2	20%	95%
	TVSS (<500-um)	45.1	5.4	1.2	20%	88%
	TVSS (<100-um)	17.4	4.4	1.2	20%	75%
	TVSS (<50-um)	9.5	1.8	1.2	20%	81%
	TSS (SM)	92.4	6.5	7.9	20%	93%
	TSS (EPA)	137	10.7	5.0	19.1%	92%

Notes

General Information Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ Site: System Description: Event Date:

Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40 cfs 09/24/09 Date of Last Maintenance: 09/19/08 15 hours since last rain event, 0.06"

Hydrology

Total Precipitation (in): Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage:

Antecedent Conditions:

0.47 354 (33% of design) 10873 83%

Event Hydrograph



	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L))		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Parameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/EFF: 9	Coarse solids (mineral)	2.9	ND	0.1	20%	97%
	Sand (mineral)	ND	5.5	1.2	20%	release
	Silt (mineral)	2.6	ND	1.2	20%	54%
	<u>SSC</u>	39.5	6.1	1.2	2.8%	85%
	TVSS	34.2	3.9	1.2	20%	89%
	SSC (>2000-um)	6.8	0.1	0.1	2.8%	99%
	SSC (<2000-um)	32.7	6.0	1.2	2.8%	82%
	SSC (<500-um)	21.5	3.8	1.2	2.8%	82%
	SSC (<100-um)	7.5	3.5	1.2	2.8%	53%
	SSC (<50-um)	7.1	0.9	1.2	2.8%	87%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	3.9	0.1	0.1	20%	97%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	30.3	3.8	1.2	20%	87%
	TVSS (<500-um)	9.2	2.4	1.2	20%	74%
	TVSS (<100-um)	3.5	3.5	1.2	20%	undeterminable
	TVSS (<50-um)	4.5	4.2	1.2	20%	undeterminable
	TSS (SM)	30.8	3.8	7.9	20%	88%
	TSS (EPA)	29.3	5.0	5.0	3.1%	83%

Notes

Site:Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJSystem Description:Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40 cfsEvent Date:09/27/09Date of Last Maintenance:09/19/08Antecedent Conditions:68 hours since last rain event, 0.41HydrologyTotal Precipitation (in):0.99Peak Flow (apm):160 (15% of design)

Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage: 0.99 160 (15% of design) 18239 99%

Event Hydrograph



	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L	.)		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Parameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/EFF: 12	Coarse solids (mineral)	8.4	1.8	0.1	20%	79%
	Sand (mineral)	123.2	9.3	1.2	20%	92%
	Silt (mineral)	20.8	6.2	1.2	20%	70%
	<u>SSC</u>	315	37.4	1.2	8.8%	88%
	TVSS	162	20.1	1.2	20%	88%
	SSC (>2000-um)	54.6	2.1	0.1	8.8%	96%
	SSC (<2000-um)	260	35.3	1.2	8.8%	86%
	SSC (<500-um)	154	34.6	1.2	8.8%	78%
	SSC (<100-um)	59.4	31	1.2	8.8%	48%
	SSC (<50-um)	41.8	13.9	1.2	8.8%	67%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	46.2	0.3	0.1	20%	99%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	116	19.8	1.2	20%	83%
	TVSS (<500-um)	52.6	18.9	1.2	20%	64%
	TVSS (<100-um)	29.1	16.8	1.2	20%	42%
	TVSS (<50-um)	21.0	7.7	1.2	20%	63%
	TSS (SM)	102.0	35.0	3.6	6.9%	66%
	TSS (EPA)	141	34.3	5.0	2.1%	76%

Notes

Site:	Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ
System Description:	Vortechs 4000, 28 ft ² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40 cfs
Event Date:	10/03/09
Date of Last Maintenance:	09/19/08
Antecedent Conditions:	102 hours since last rain event, 0.05"
Hydrology	
Total Precipitation (in):	0.57
Peak Flow (apm)	381 (35% of design)

Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage: 0.57 381 (35% of design) 11439 79%

Event Hydrograph



Analytical

	Baramatar	Concentrations (mg/L)			_	Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Farailleter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF: 9	Coarse solids (mineral)	9.1	ND	1	20%	89%
	Sand (mineral)	102.5	6.7	1.2	20%	93%
	<u>Silt (mineral)</u>	15.7	6.7	1.2	20%	57%
	<u>SSC</u>	256	28.0	1.1	12.4%	89%
	TVSS	128	13.8	1.2	20%	89%
	SSC (>2000-um)	49.7	ND	1.0	12.4%	98%
	SSC (<2000-um)	206	27.0	1.1	12.4%	87%
	SSC (<500-um)	116	24.8	1.1	12.4%	79%
	SSC (<100-um)	38.1	19.4	1.1	12.4%	49%
	SSC (<50-um)	27.7	10.0	1.1	12.4%	64%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	40.6	0.2	0.1	20%	100%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	87.8	13.6	1.2	20%	85%
	TVSS (<500-um)	38.1	12.9	1.2	20%	66%
	TVSS (<100-um)	15.7	10.6	1.2	20%	32%
	TVSS (<50-um)	12.0	3.3	1.2	20%	73%
	TSS (SM)	81.7	24.1	3.6	20%	71%
	TSS (EPA)	62	27.1	3.6	20%	56%

Notes

Site:	Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ
System Description:	Vortechs 4000, 28 ft ² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs
Event Date:	12/02/09
Date of Last Maintenance:	9/19/08
Antecedent Conditions:	44 Hours since last rain event, 0.30"
Hydrology	
Total Precipitation (in):	1.87

Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage: 1.87 641 (59% of design) 49664 81%

Event Hydrograph



Analytical							
	Doromotor	Con	centrations (mg/L	Discrete Removal			
Number of Aliquots:	Falallielei	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency	
IN/ EFF: 20	Coarse solids (mineral)	3.9	ND	0.05	20%	99%	
	Sand (mineral)	52.3	2.9	0.5	20%	94%	
	<u>Silt (mineral)</u>	3.9	1.7	0.5	20%	56%	
	<u>SSC</u>	164	11.7	0.5	6.5%	93%	
	TVSS	103	6.1	0.5	20%	94%	
	SSC (>2000-um)	21.5	1.14	0.05	6.5%	95%	
	SSC (<2000-um)	142	10.6	0.5	6.5%	93%	
	SSC (<500-um)	64.6	9.4	0.5	6.5%	85%	
	SSC (<100-um)	20.4	5.5	0.5	6.5%	73%	
	SSC (<50-um)	7.8	3.8	0.5	6.5%	51%	
	TVSS(>2000-um)	17.6	1.10	0.05	20%	94%	
	TVSS (<2000-um)	85.8	6	0.5	20%	93%	
	TVSS (<500-um)	28.2	4.8	0.5	20%	83%	
	TVSS (<100-um)	8.7	2.6	0.5	20%	70%	
	TVSS (<50-um)	3.9	2.1	0.5	20%	46%	
	TSS (SM)	48.0	7.0	3.1	10.4%	85%	
	TSS (EPA)	119	7.5	3.1	2.5%	94%	

Notes

Peak flow and total runoff volume based on effluent flow measurements. Shaded RPD values defaulted to 20% standard due to QC complications. All samples passed through a 2000-um sieve prior to splitting. Underlined parameters are calculated: SSC defined as sum of SSC (>2000-um) and SSC (<2000-um). SSC (>2000-um) calculated using estimated volume of sample used for composite (visual estimate of actual aliquot volume) and mass of material retained by the 2000-um sieve.

General Information Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJ Site: Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40cfs System Description: Event Date: 12/09/09 Date of Last Maintenance: 9/19/08 Antecedent Conditions: 75 Hours since last rain event, 0.95" Hydrology Total Precipitation (in): 2.22 Peak Flow (gpm): 840 (78% of design) 20 64853 Vol. Coverage: 77%





	Baramatar	Con	centrations (mg/L	.)		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Falametei	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/EFF: 20	Coarse solids (mineral)	2.2	ND	0.05	20%	98%
	Sand (mineral)	88.3	3.9	0.5	20%	96%
	Silt (mineral)	7.5	3.2	0.5	20%	57%
	<u>SSC</u>	292	12.7	0.5	3.7%	96%
	TVSS	194	5.6	0.5	20%	97%
	SSC (>2000-um)	17.1	0.18	0.05	3.7%	99%
	SSC (<2000-um)	275	12.5	0.5	3.7%	95%
	SSC (<500-um)	100	10.8	0.5	3.7%	89%
	SSC (<100-um)	27.3	8.7	0.5	3.7%	68%
	SSC (<50-um)	14.6	5.3	0.5	3.7%	64%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	14.9	0.17	0.05	20%	99%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	179.2	5.4	0.5	20%	97%
	TVSS (<500-um)	49.2	5.1	0.5	20%	90%
	TVSS (<100-um)	10.9	4.1	0.5	20%	62%
	TVSS (<50-um)	7.1	2.1	0.5	20%	70%
	TSS (SM)	128.0	12.0	3.6	8.6%	91%
	TSS (EPA)	225	17.6	3.6	21.3%	92%

Notes

Site:Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJSystem Description:Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40 cfsEvent Date:01/25/10Date of Last Maintenance:09/19/08Antecedent Conditions:163 hours since last rain event, 1.37"Hydrology1.28

Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage: 1.28 326 (30% of design) 21921 73%

Event Hydrograph



	Deremeter	Con	centrations (mg/L	.)		Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	Parameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF:8	Coarse solids (mineral)	27.3	ND	0.10	20%	100%
	Sand (mineral)	70.1	2.3	1.0	20%	97%
	Silt (mineral)	251.9	23.6	1.0	20%	91%
	<u>SSC</u>	531	42.2	1.0	5.6%	92%
	TVSS	181	16.3	1.0	20%	91%
	SSC (>2000-um)	50.6	0.12	0.10	5.6%	100%
	SSC (<2000-um)	480	42.1	1.0	5.6%	91%
	SSC (<500-um)	319	29.5	1.0	5.6%	91%
	SSC (<100-um)	144	33.6	1.0	5.6%	77%
	SSC (<50-um)	281	28.8	1.0	5.6%	90%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	23.3	ND	0.10	20%	100%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	158	16.2	1.0	20%	90%
	TVSS (<500-um)	84.9	10.2	1.0	20%	88%
	TVSS (<100-um)	44.2	10.2	1.0	20%	77%
	TVSS (<50-um)	29.1	5.2	1.0	20%	82%
	TSS (SM)	161	39.1	3.6	3.1%	76%
	TSS (EPA)	290	50.7	3.6	16.6%	83%

Notes

Site:Wawa Market Route 37, (Project #11517), Toms River, NJSystem Description:Vortechs 4000, 28 ft² sediment storage capacity, design 2.40 cfsEvent Date:03/12/10Date of Last Maintenance:09/19/08Antecedent Conditions:219 hours since last rain event, 0.10"Hydrology2.80

Total Precipitation (in): Peak Flow (gpm): Total Runoff Volume (gal): Vol. Coverage: 2.80 296 (27% of design) 56135 93%

Event Hydrograph



Analytical						
	Parameter	Con	centrations (mg/L)		_	Discrete Removal
Number of Aliquots:	T alameter	Influent EMC	Effluent EMC	MRL	Dup. RPD	Efficiency
IN/ EFF: 13	Coarse solids (mineral)	23.3	ND	0.10	20%	100%
	Sand (mineral)	82.8	9.0	1.0	20%	89%
	<u>Silt (mineral)</u>	25.2	13.4	1.0	20%	47%
	<u>SSC</u>	325	37.6	0.6	5.9%	88%
	TVSS	193	15.2	0.6	20%	92%
	SSC (>2000-um)	80.6	ND	0.10	5.9%	100%
	SSC (<2000-um)	244	37.5	0.6	5.9%	85%
	SSC (<500-um)	129	35.0	0.6	5.9%	73%
	SSC (<100-um)	59.5	29.6	0.6	5.9%	50%
	SSC (<50-um)	37.8	22.1	0.6	5.9%	42%
	TVSS(>2000-um)	57.3	ND	0.10	20%	100%
	TVSS (<2000-um)	136	15.1	1.0	20%	89%
	TVSS (<500-um)	50.6	14.3	1.0	20%	72%
	TVSS (<100-um)	20.6	11.5	1.0	20%	44%
	TVSS (<50-um)	12.6	8.7	1.0	20%	31%
	TSS (SM)	113	35.5	1.5	19.4%	69%
	TSS (EPA)	233	38.1	3.2	17.6%	84%

Notes